PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/IL2006/000204

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

FENSTER, Paul FENSTER AND COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2002 LTD RECEIVED P. O. BOX 10256

IMPORTANT NOTICE

49002 PETACH TIKVA ISRAFI

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD

Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference 34503

30 August 2007 (30.08.2007)

International application No. PCT/IL2006/000204

International filing date (day/month/year) 16 February 2006 (16.02.2006)

Priority date (day/month/year) 17 February 2005 (17.02,2005)

Applicant

METACURE N.V. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Simin Baharlou

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Authorized officer

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 34503	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/IL2006/000204	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 February 2006 (16.02.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 February 2005 (17.02.2005)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant METACURE N.V						

1.	This international proliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bir. 1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bir.3(c) and 93bir.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bir.2).				

	Date of issuance of this report 21 August 2007 (21.08.2007)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATS

From the PATENT COOPERATION TREATY					
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256			PCT		
PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
			(PCT Rule 43hls.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	29 SEP 2006		
Applicant's or agent's file referen	ice	FOR FURTHER			
470/05090		1	See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL06/00204 International Patent Classification	16 February 2006 (16.02	2.2006)	17 February 2005 (17.02.2005)		
	(1PC) or both national classificat	non and IPC			
IPC: A61N 1/00(2006.01) USPC: 607/2					
Applicant					
METACURE N.V.	_				
	ions relating to the following item	ıs:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bts.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Cert	Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Cert	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Cert	ain observations on the internation	nal application			
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the FEA and the chosen FPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bit/6) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with aneadments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form FCT/SNA220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expiral salter.					
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the l		ion of this opinion	Authorizad offices		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/U Commissioner for Patents	IS 22 August 2006	(22.08.2006)	Scott M. Cetzgw		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-					
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Telephone 3-6: 571-272-4946					
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)					

3

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

		1.1200/00204			
Box N	No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
Ø	the international application in the language in which it was filed				
Ī	a translation of the intensational application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 123(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. With inven	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internat ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	tional application and necessary to the claimed			
3.	. type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing .				
b.	format of material				
	on paper				
	in electronic form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. 🔲	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not po beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL06/00204

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims 1-44 YES Claims NONE NO Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE YES Claims 1-44 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-44 YES. Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-44 meet the criteria for novelty and industial applicability under PCT Article 33(2),33(4). The prior art does not anticipate the claimed method steps including determining a target non-immediate effect of a therapy.

Claims 1.44 do not meet the criteria for inventive step under PCT Article 33(3). The patent to Wernicke et al tesches stimulating the patients' wague narve, or other nerves, to modify blood chemicals such as gloone. To first determine a target non-timucatine effects would have been obvious in that the treating physician would want to treat the patient in the most efficacious manner and by so doing the correct amount of stimulation is provided to the patient, without wanting bettery power.



